



10/533822  
PCT/GB2003/004183



INVESTOR IN PEOPLE

## PRIORITY DOCUMENT

SUBMITTED OR TRANSMITTED IN  
COMPLIANCE WITH RULE 17.1(a) OR (b)

The Patent Office  
Concept House  
Cardiff Road  
Newport  
South Wales  
NP10 8QQ

REC'D 09 JAN 2004

WIPO


PCT

I, the undersigned, being an officer duly authorised in accordance with Section 74(1) and (4) of the Deregulation & Contracting Out Act 1994, to sign and issue certificates on behalf of the Comptroller-General, hereby certify that annexed hereto is a true copy of the documents as originally filed in connection with the patent application identified therein.

In accordance with the Patents (Companies Re-registration) Rules 1982, if a company named in this certificate and any accompanying documents has re-registered under the Companies Act 1980 with the same name as that with which it was registered immediately before re-registration save for the substitution as, or inclusion as, the last part of the name of the words "public limited company" or their equivalents in Welsh, references to the name of the company in this certificate and any accompanying documents shall be treated as references to the name with which it is so re-registered.

In accordance with the rules, the words "public limited company" may be replaced by p.l.c., plc, P.L.C. or PLC.

Re-registration under the Companies Act does not constitute a new legal entity but merely subjects the company to certain additional company law rules.



Signed *Andrew Gersey*  
Dated 23 December 2003

BEST AVAILABLE COPY



1/77

06NOV02 E761149-12 D00016  
\_P01/7700 0.00-0225779.8

# Request for grant of a patent

(See the notes on the back of this form. You can also get an explanatory leaflet from the Patent Office to help you fill in this form)



The Patent Office

Cardiff Road  
Newport  
South Wales  
NP10 8QQ

1. Your reference

NS/JT/02481GB

2. Patent application number

(The Patent Office will fill in this part)

0225779.8

05 NOV 2002

3. Full name, address and postcode of the or of each applicant (underline all surnames)

IMPERICAL COLLEGE INNOVATIONS LIMITED  
47 Prince's Gate,  
Exhibition Road,  
London SW7 2QA

Patents ADP number (if you know it)

If the applicant is a corporate body, give the country/state of its incorporation

~~755 434 800~~  
7409436 003  
G.B.

4. Title of the invention

"Structured Silicon Anode"

5. Name of your agent (if you have one)

BROOKES BATCHELLOR

"Address for service" in the United Kingdom to which all correspondence should be sent (including the postcode)

102-108 Clerkenwell Road,  
London EC1M 5SA

Patents ADP number (if you know it)

08142291001 ✓

6. If you are declaring priority from one or more earlier patent applications, give the country and the date of filing of the or of each of these earlier applications and (if you know it) the or each application number

Country

Priority application number  
(if you know it)

Date of filing  
(day / month / year)

7. If this application is divided or otherwise derived from an earlier UK application, give the number and the filing date of the earlier application

Number of earlier application

Date of filing  
(day / month / year)

8. Is a statement of inventorship and of right to grant of a patent required in support of this request? (Answer 'Yes' if:

Yes

- a) any applicant named in part 3 is not an inventor, or
  - b) there is an inventor who is not named as an applicant, or
  - c) any named applicant is a corporate body.
- See note (d))

Patents Form 1/77

9. Enter the number of sheets for any of the following items you are filing with this form.  
~~Do not count copies of the same document~~

Continuation sheets of this form

Description

13

Claim(s)

2

Abstract

1

Drawing(s)

7+7

10. If you are also filing any of the following, state how many against each item.

Priority documents

Translations of priority documents

Statement of inventorship and right to grant of a patent (Patents Form 7/77)

Request for preliminary examination and search (Patents Form 9/77)

1/

Request for substantive examination (Patents Form 10/77)

1/

Any other documents  
(please specify)

11. I/We request the grant of a patent on the basis of this application.

Signature

Date

Brookes Batchellor

5th November 2002

12. Name and daytime telephone number of person to contact in the United Kingdom

Brookes Batchellor

Mr. N. Shindler 020 7253 1563

Warning

After an application for a patent has been filed, the Comptroller of the Patent Office will consider whether publication or communication of the invention should be prohibited or restricted under Section 22 of the Patents Act 1977. You will be informed if it is necessary to prohibit or restrict your invention in this way. Furthermore, if you live in the United Kingdom, Section 23 of the Patents Act 1977 stops you from applying for a patent abroad without first getting written permission from the Patent Office unless an application has been filed at least 6 weeks beforehand in the United Kingdom for a patent for the same invention and either no direction prohibiting publication or communication has been given, or any such direction has been revoked.

Notes

- If you need help to fill in this form or you have any questions, please contact the Patent Office on 0645 500505.
- Write your answers in capital letters using black ink or you may type them.
- If there is not enough space for all the relevant details on any part of this form, please continue on a separate sheet of paper and write "see continuation sheet" in the relevant part(s). Any continuation sheet should be attached to this form.
- If you have answered 'Yes' Patents Form 7/77 will need to be filed.
- Once you have filled in the form you must remember to sign and date it.
- For details of the fee and ways to pay please contact the Patent Office.

# Structured Silicon Anode

The present invention relates to structured silicon anodes for lithium battery applications.

Silicon is recognised as a potentially high energy per unit volume host material for lithium in lithium battery applications<sup>1</sup>. Attempts at realising this potential have met with only partial success when nano-composites of silicon powder and carbon black have been used<sup>2</sup>. The major technical problem associated with the use of silicon/lithium appears to be the mechanical failure brought about by the repeated large volume expansion associated with alloying<sup>1c,3</sup>. Metallic and intermetallic anodic host materials, other than layer materials such as graphite, are reported to disintegrate after a few lithium insertion/extraction cycles<sup>3,4</sup> unless in fine powder form (sub-micron range). Since we are interested in finding a way to make a lithium battery integrated onto a silicon chip we need to find a solution to this materials problem. It is envisaged that the principal applications area for lithium batteries integrated into a chip would be in the medical field. Thus the well-developed practice of cochlea implants appears to be an area that would benefit from an integrated battery supply<sup>5</sup>.

This invention seeks to realise the potential of the silicon-lithium system to allow the possibility of a lithium battery integrated on to a silicon chip.

Accordingly this invention provides a method of fabricating sub-micron silicon electrode structures on a silicon wafer. Preferably these structures comprise pillars.

For a silicon-lithium system the basic cell diagram can be represented as  $\text{Li}|\text{Li}^+\text{-electrolyte}|\text{Si}$ , for this cell the cathodic process is, discharge of lithium onto silicon to form an alloy (charging), and the anodic process is lithium extraction or de-alloying (discharging). The EMF data reported by Wen and Huggins<sup>6</sup> for the liquid system at 415°C is shown bracketed below and the solid system at room temperature<sup>7</sup> is shown un-bracketed below. Their results (in mV vs, Li) are:  $\text{Si}/\text{Li}_{12}\text{Si}_7$ -582(332);  $\text{Li}_{12}\text{Si}_7/\text{Li}_7\text{Si}_3$ -520(288);  $\text{Li}_7\text{Si}_3/\text{Li}_{13}\text{Si}_4$ -428(158);  $\text{Li}_{13}\text{Si}_4/\text{Li}_{21}\text{Si}_5$ --300(44).

It will be appreciated that the formation of  $\text{Li}_{12}\text{Si}_7$  in place of Si results in a significant volume change (the alloy is 2.17 times bigger). On a conventional silicon wafer suitable for use as an anode for a lithium battery this volume change leads to crack formation and pulverisation however due to their small size and configuration sub-micron anode structures made in accordance with the invention, are able

to tolerate the conditions occasioned by the massive volume changes occasioned by lithium alloying/de-alloying. In tests structured electrodes of sub micron diameter Si pillars maintained their structural integrity throughout the cycling whereas planar Si electrodes showed cracks (2 micron features) after 50 cycles. An appropriate size restriction to achieve suitable electrodes is that the silicon pillars should not exceed a fractional surface coverage (F) of  $\sim 0.5$ .

An embodiment of the invention will now be described by way of non-limiting example only, with reference to the accompanying drawings, in which:

Figure 1 is a schematic view of a structured electrode;

Figure 2 shows one of a series of CV scan sets;

Figure 3 shows results for a series of galvanostatic measurements;

Figure 4 shows pictures of the structure;

figure 5 shows SEM pictures of the structure; and

Figure 6 shows a lithium battery in accordance with the present invention.

The electrochemical discharge of lithium on silicon and its

subsequent chemical reaction destroys the silicon lattice, giving rise to the swelling of the solid, producing amorphous Si/Li phases<sup>13</sup>. The first new phase to appear in the system is  $\text{Li}_{12}\text{Si}_7$ . This compound, and all the rest up to Li, is a so-called Zintl-Phase Compound (ZPC), and consists of simple, electropositive, cations and complex co-valently bound, multiply charged, electronegative, anions. Of course the charge ascribed to the "ions" is purely notional: the actual charge (depending upon definition) is less than the formal value and may be considerably less, hence the bulk lithium will be referred to as  $\text{Li}^\circ$  and bulk silicon as  $\text{Si}_n^\circ$ .

It is important to form some idea of the mechanism of lithiation and de-lithiation of silicon. It is proposed that:

(i) Discharged lithium reacts with silicon forming a ZPC film with atomically continuous contact to the silicon.

(ii) Lithium excess diffuses (via a vacancy mechanism) through the compact ZPC film to react with silicon at the Si/ZPC interface, thickening the ZPC film, without void formation.

These processes might be represented by:  $\text{Li}^+(\text{el}) + \text{e}^- (\text{solid}) \rightarrow \text{Li}(\text{ads.})$ ;  $\text{Li}(\text{ads.}) + \text{V}(\text{ZPC}) \rightarrow \text{Li}^\circ (\text{ZPC})_s$ ;  $\text{Li}^\circ (\text{ZPC})_s \rightarrow \text{diffusion} \rightarrow \text{Li}^\circ (\text{ZPC})_{\text{ZPC/Si}}$ ;  $x \text{Li}^\circ + y\text{Si}^\circ \rightarrow \text{ZPC} (\text{Li}_x/\text{ySi})$ .

(Li(ads) is Li adsorbed on ZPC; V is a  $\text{Li}^\circ$  vacancy in ZPC)

(iii) The amorphous<sup>13</sup> ZPC film is deformable and so does not give rise to significant stress induced cracking on volume change.

The diffusion coefficient, D, for Li in crystalline  $\text{Si}^{14}$  is

$\sim 10^{-14} \text{cm}^2 \text{s}^{-1}$ , Li in ZPC is expected to be faster; a value of  $10^{-12} \text{cm}^2 \text{s}^{-1}$  would be enough to account for all the processes carried out in this study. This model for ZPC film formation is in many ways analogous to the model of  $\text{SiO}_2$  layer formation on silicon due to Deal and Grove<sup>15</sup>: but the details are different and will be treated elsewhere.

The model for ZPC decomposition is, in broad terms, the reverse of the above steps. Discharge of  $\text{Li}^\circ$  at the electrolyte interface produces a surface vacancy in the ZPC. Locally  $\text{Li}^\circ$  moves into the vacancy so the vacancy diffuses back to the ZPC/Si interface: at the interface  $\text{Si}_n$  rejoins the Si phase (where it is said to be polycrystalline<sup>13</sup>) and vacancies coalesce to produce larger void spaces. These spaces, as they coalesce further and grow, give rise to the crack like features seen in the SEM pictures in Figures 4c,d and 5. Such a process has been described by Beaulieu et al<sup>16</sup> for lithium removal from silicon/tin alloys.

It has been shown that repeated Li alloying/de-alloying of planar Si can be carried out without pulverisation of the substrate, cf. Fig. 5. However, as noted, the alloy/de-alloy process is limited by diffusion through the ZPC layer. In order to obtain charging rates suitable for various applications it is necessary to increase the surface area of the Si/electrolyte interface; and this has been done using pillar fabrication. Previous attempts using silicon particles have failed because the particle-to-particle contacts change and part with cycling<sup>2</sup>. The pillar structures, on the other hand, are largely maintained as evidenced by the flatness of the pillar tops after 50 cycles, cf. Fig. 4.



Efficiencies of <100% reported here are attributed mainly to reaction, on alloying, with the electrolyte, and to a lesser extent isolation of regions of ZPC. The data presented here show that reduced current density on both alloying and de-alloying results in improving efficiency. It is supposed that this improvement comes mainly from a reduced surface concentration of adsorbed Li on alloying and accessing all the lithium in the ZPC on de-alloying.

There is large scope for further increasing the surface-to-volume ratio of the pillar construction, for example, pillars of diameter (d)  $\sim 0.3$  microns and 6 micron height (H). The pillar volume (v) would be,  $FH$ , and for  $F=0.4$ ,  $v=2.4 \times 10^{-4}$  cc/cm<sup>2</sup>, which is equivalent, when converted to  $\text{Li}_{12}\text{Si}_7$ , to a capacity of  $3.81 \times 10^3 v = 914 \text{ microAhr cm}^{-2}$ . The surface area of such a pillar structure is  $\sim 4FH/d$ , which is the basis of the much improved characteristics.

To make structures in accordance with the invention the following method may be used, namely "Island Lithography" as disclosed in international patent No. WO01/13414. This method employs cesium chloride as the resist in the lithographic step in the fabrication of pillar arrays. It works as follows. A thin film of CsCl is vacuum deposited on the clean, hydrophilic, surface of the Si substrate. This system is then exposed to the atmosphere at a controlled relative humidity. A multilayer of water adsorbes on the surface, the CsCl is soluble in the water layer (being more soluble at places of higher radius of curvature). The CsCl re-organises into a

distribution of hemispherical islands, driven by the excess surface energy associated with CsCl surface curvature. Such arrays are useful in making structures for various studies involving nano-scale phenomena. In this case reactive ion etching is preferably used, with the islands acting as X masks so that removal of the surrounding silicon forms the desired pillar structures.

A study of the kinetics of the formation of island arrays has been carried out on GaAs surfaces<sup>9</sup> and more recently, and more extensively, on Si/SiO<sub>2</sub> surfaces<sup>10</sup> where the technique and results are described in detail. The process variables are: CsCl film thickness (L); humidity (RH), time of exposure (t). The resulting island array has a Gaussian distribution of diameters, average diameter ( $\langle d \rangle$ ) standard deviation ( $\pm s$ ) and surface fractional coverage (F). Having made the CsCl resist array the next step is reactive ion etching (RIE) to produce the corresponding array of pillars<sup>11</sup>. The RIE process variables are: feed-gas composition, flow rate and chamber pressure; RF power; dc bias; etch time. The results are characterised by the etch depth, corresponding to pillar height (H), and the wall angle, namely the angle that the pillar wall makes with the wafer plane; it is chosen in this study to be close to 90°. The examples reported in this work were etched in a Oxford Plasmalab 80 apparatus. The etch gas was (O<sub>2</sub>:Ar: CHF<sub>3</sub>) in the ratio 1:10:20; feed rate 20sccm; chamber pressure, 50 milli pascals; RF power, 73 watts; dc bias 200V.

The pillar structure reported in this study (K-series) was characterised as  $\langle d \rangle = 580\text{nm} \pm 15\text{nm}$ ;  $F = 0.34$ ;  $H = 810\text{nm}$ : it was made using,  $L = 80\text{nm}$ ;  $RH = 40\%$ ;  $t = 17.5\text{hrs}$ . After fabrication the

silicon samples were washed in water; etched for 20 seconds in  $\text{NH}_4\text{OH}(28\text{w}\% \text{NH}_3):\text{H}_2\text{O}_2(100\text{v/v}):\text{H}_2\text{O}$  in equal volume ratios; the etchant was flooded away with de-ionized water and blow dried.

Of course the structures may also be fabricated by other known techniques, such as photolithography, which produce regular arrays of features rather than the scattered distribution produced by island lithography.

Figure 1 is a schematic view of a structured electrode, in accordance with the invention and as used in the following tests, it shows a part sectional view of the anode in which the pillars 2 can clearly be seen on the silicon wafer 3.

Figure 6 shows a lithium battery, comprising a typical embodiment of the present invention, and including an anode 1, a cathode 4, a polymer electrolyte 5, a first strip 6 representing a rectifier circuit connected to a coil encircling the anode for charging purposes, a second strip 7 representing the output circuit (driven by the battery), and a pair of wires 8 for connection to the device to be driven.

Electrochemical tests were performed in a three-electrode, glass, cell where the Si sample is the working electrode and metallic Li is used for both the counter and reference electrodes. A 1 M solution of  $\text{LiClO}_4$  (Merck Selectipurâ) in ethylene carbonate:diethyl carbonate (Merck Selectipurâ), (1:1) w/w solvent was used as the electrolyte. The cell was assembled under a dry argon atmosphere in a glove box. Ohmic contact was made to the rear side of the silicon samples electrodes using a 1:1 In-Ga eutectic alloy<sup>12</sup>. The electrode area was delineated using an O-ring configuration in

a PTFE holder. No adhesive is used and a good electrolyte/atmosphere seal is obtained. In an earlier study we found that epoxy adhesive, used to mount a Si electrode, contaminated the active electrode surface causing spurious currents at high voltages ( $>2V$ ).

Electrochemical behaviour of the cell was investigated by cyclic voltammetry (CV) and by galvanostatic measurement (voltage vs. time at constant current), using an electrochemical workstation (VMP PerkinElmer™ Instruments). The capacity referred to here is the total charge inserted into the projected electrode surface area exposed to the electrolyte (this ignores any surface area due to structuring), given as  $\text{mAhcm}^{-2}$  (micro Amp hours  $\text{cm}^{-2}$ ).

The results obtained were:

The response of the  $\text{Li}|\text{Li}^+\text{-electrolyte}|\text{Si}$  cell was measured: for this cell the cathodic process is, discharge of lithium onto silicon to form an alloy (charging), and the anodic process is lithium extraction or de-alloying (discharging). Figure 2 shows one series of CV scan sets (details in caption). The first cycle, and to quite a large extent the second, differs from those that follow. It is conjectured that this difference is due to a "formation" effect, associated with the filming of the electrode during the first Li discharge. After the first and second cycles, the scans assume a repeatable general shape. Since these are scans in which the potential is changed slowly and the current densities are therefore small, there are no IR drop or diffusion overpotential terms, and assuming no activation

overpotential, the electrode potential is a measure of the surface lithium activity. The first cathodic feature is the rapid increase in current at ~330 mV that, according to room temperature data<sup>7</sup>, corresponds to the presence of  $\text{Li}_{12}\text{Si}_7$ . The lowest potential reached is 25mV and this is taken to be associated with the presence of higher Li compounds, e.g.  $\text{Li}_{21}\text{Si}_5$ . The cycling sequence shows a progressive "activation" of the sample, associated with increasing breakdown of the crystalline silicon structure (see discussion). The anodic, part of the CV curve is associated with progressive de-lithiation of the electrode according to the various ZPC equilibrium potentials. For a scan rate of  $1 \text{ mVs}^{-1}$  the capacity ( $260 \text{mAhcm}^{-2}$ ) of the electrodes is roughly comparable to the pillar volume being converted to  $\text{Li}_{12}\text{Si}_7$ , while for the slower scan rates the capacity exceeds that of the pillar volume. The latter results point to the participation of the substrate in the alloying/de-alloying process.

Figure 3 shows the results for a series of galvanostatic measurements on structured Si at two different charge/discharge current densities (details in caption).

Figure 4 shows the structure of the K-series of silicon electrodes that were used in this study and the effects of extensive galvanostatic cycling upon that structure. The structure are clearly intact, but at the higher current density slight cracking of the bulk Si surface, below the pillars, is observed.

Figure 5 shows the SEM pictures of the structures obtained on planar (un-pillared) Si electrodes before cycling and, separately, after galvanostatic cycling. When cycled at the lower current densities, the surface is deformed, though crack formation does not occur. Cycling at higher current densities produces wide cracks.

#### References

1. (a): R.A. Sharma and R.N. Seefurth, J. Electrochem. Soc., 123, 1763 (1976); (b): B.A. Boukamp, G.C. Lash and R.A. Huggins, J. Electrochem. Soc., 128, 725 (1981); (c): R.A. Huggins, Lithium Alloy Anodes in "Handbook of Battery Materials", J.O. Besenhard Ed, Wiley-VCH, Weinheim, 359 (1999); (d): S. Bourderau, T. Brousse and D.M. Schleich, J. Power Sources, 233, 81 (1999); (e): O.Z. Zhuo, Bo Bao and S. Sinha, US Patent No. 6334939 B1 Jan 1, 2002: There are many other patents relating to the use of various host materials for Li anodes.
2. Hong Li, Xuejie Huang, Liquan Chen, Zhengang Wu and Yong Liang, Electrochem. Solid-State Lett., 2, 547 (1999).
3. J.O. Besenhard, J. Yang and M. Winter, J. Power Sources, 68, 87 (1997)
4. L.Y. Beaulieu, D. Larcher, R.A. Dunlap and J.R. Dahn, J. Electrochem. Soc., 147,

3206 (2000).

5. J.K. Niparko (Editor), "Cochlea Implants", Pub.,  
Lippincott Williams and Wilkins,

Philadelphia, (2000)

6. C.J. Wen and R.A. Huggins, J. Solid State Chem., 37, 271  
(1981).

7. W.J. Weydanz, M. Wohlfahrt-Mehrens and R.A. Huggins, J. Power Sources

81-82, 237 (1999).

8. J-P. Colinge, "Silicon-on-Insulator Technology: Materials  
to VLSI",

Kluwer Acad. Pub, Boston, Chapter 2, (1991).

9. Mino Green, M. Garcia-Parajo, F. Khaleque and R Murray,  
Appl. Phys. Lett.,

63, 264 (1993.)

10. Mino Green and Shin Tsuchiya, J. Vac. Sci. & Tech. B, 17,  
2074 (1999).

11. Shin Tsuchiya, Mino Green and RRA Syms, Electrochem.  
Solid-State Lett;

3, 44 (2000).

12. L-C. Chen, M. Chen, T-H Tsaur, C Lien and C-C. Wan,  
Sensors and Actuators,

A49, 115 (1995).

13. H. Li, X. Huang, L. Chen, G. Zhou, Z. Zhang, D. Yu, Y.J. Mo and N. Pei, Solid

State Ionics, 135, 181 (2000).

14. "Properties of Silicon", Pub. INSPEC, The Institution of Electrical Engineers,

London, (1988): p.461 for solubility; p.455 for diffusion data.

15. B.E. Deal and A.S. Grove, J. Appl. Phys., 36, 3770 (1965).

16. L.Y. Beaulieu, K.W. Eberman, R.L. Turner, L.J Krause and J.R. Dahn,

Electrochem. Solid-State Lett., 4, A137 (2001).



Claims:

1. An integrated silicon electrode for a battery, comprising a regular or irregular array of sub-micron silicon structures fabricated on a silicon substrate.
2. A silicon anode comprising an electrode according to claim 1, in which the sub-micron silicon structures comprise pillars of silicon fabricated on an n-type silicon substrate.
3. A silicon anode according to claim 2 made on a wafer-bonded silicon-on-insulator substrate.
4. A silicon anode according to claim 2 or claim 3 in which the silicon pillars do not exceed a fractional coverage of 0.5 of the substrate.
5. A silicon electrode according to any one of the preceding claims formed by the steps of:
  - (a) depositing a very thin film of a highly soluble solid onto a flat hydrophilic silicon substrate;
  - (b) exposing the film to solvent vapour under controlled conditions so that the film reorganises into an array of discrete hemispherical islands on the surface; and
  - (c) reactively ion etching the silicon substrate with the islands of highly soluble solid acting as a resist so that the exposed silicon is etched away leaving pillars corresponding to the islands.

6. A silicon anode according to any one of the preceding claims wherein the pillars are 0.1-1.0 microns in diameter (d) and 1-10 micron in height (H).
7. A silicon anode according to any one of the preceding claims wherein the pillars are ~0.3 microns in diameter (d) and 6 microns in height (H).
8. A lithium battery including an anode in accordance with any one of the preceding claims.

Abstract

Structured Silicon Anode

A silicon/lithium battery which can be fabricated from a silicon substrate allowing it to be produced as an integrated unit on a chip, the battery comprising a silicon anode formed from sub-micron diameter pillars of silicon fabricated on an n-type silicon wafer.




Figure 6





Figure 1

Fig. 2

(b)



(a)





Fig. 2 (continued)

(d)



(c)





Fig. 3

(b)



(a)





Fig. 3 (continued)

(d)



(c)








Fig. 4


(c)



(b)




(a)




419

Fig 5


(c)



(b)



(a)



**This Page is Inserted by IFW Indexing and Scanning  
Operations and is not part of the Official Record**

**BEST AVAILABLE IMAGES**

Defective images within this document are accurate representations of the original documents submitted by the applicant.

Defects in the images include but are not limited to the items checked:

☐ **BLACK BORDERS**

☐ **IMAGE CUT OFF AT TOP, BOTTOM OR SIDES**

☒ **FADED TEXT OR DRAWING**

☐ **BLURRED OR ILLEGIBLE TEXT OR DRAWING**

☐ **SKEWED/SLANTED IMAGES**

☐ **COLOR OR BLACK AND WHITE PHOTOGRAPHS**

☐ **GRAY SCALE DOCUMENTS**

☐ **LINES OR MARKS ON ORIGINAL DOCUMENT**

☒ **REFERENCE(S) OR EXHIBIT(S) SUBMITTED ARE POOR QUALITY**

☐ **OTHER:** \_\_\_\_\_

**IMAGES ARE BEST AVAILABLE COPY.**

**As rescanning these documents will not correct the image problems checked, please do not report these problems to the IFW Image Problem Mailbox.**